

Introduction to M(atrix) theory and noncommutative geometry, Part II.

Anatoly Konechny^{1*} and Albert Schwarz^{2 †}

¹ Department of Physics, University of California Berkeley
and

Theoretical Physics Group, Mail Stop 50A-5101
LBNL, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA
konechny@thsrv.lbl.gov

² Department of Mathematics, University of California Davis
Davis, CA 95616 USA
schwarz@math.ucdavis.edu

February 7, 2008

Abstract

This review paper is a continuation of hep-th/0012145 and it deals primarily with noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$ spaces. We start with a discussion of various algebras of smooth functions on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$ that have different asymptotic behavior at infinity. We pay particular attention to the differences arising when working with nonunital algebras and the unitized ones obtained by adjoining the unit element. After introducing main objects of noncommutative geometry over those algebras such as inner products, modules, connections, etc., we continue with a study of soliton and instanton solutions in field theories defined on these spaces. The discussion of solitons includes the basic facts regarding the exact soliton solutions in the Yang-Mills-Higgs systems as well as an elementary discussion of approximate solitons in scalar theories in the $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ limit. We concentrate on the module structure and topological numbers characterizing the solitons. The section on instantons contains a thorough description of noncommutative ADHM construction, a discussion of gauge triviality conditions at infinity and the structure of a module underlying the ADHM instanton solution. Although some familiarity with general ideas of noncommutative geometry reviewed in the first part is expected from the reader, this part is largely independent from the first one.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$ spaces	3
2.1	Quantization and deformation	3
2.2	Algebras $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$, $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ and $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$	5
2.3	Projective modules and endomorphisms	7
2.4	Inner products	10

*Research supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098 and in part by the National Science Foundation grant PHY-95-14797.

[†]Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9801009

2.5	Connections	11
2.6	Yang-Mills and scalar fields	13
3	Solitons	13
3.1	Finite energy solutions	13
3.2	Partial isometries	14
3.3	Scalar field solitons in the $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ limit	16
4	Instantons	19
4.1	Instanton equation on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_\theta^N$ modules	19
4.2	Hypoelliptic operators, $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ -modules and partial isometries	21
4.3	Fields gauge trivial at infinity.	24
4.4	Noncommutative ADHM construction	25
4.5	ADHM instantons as gauge fields trivial at infinity and $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ -modules.	28
5	Literature	30

1 Introduction

This part of the review is devoted mostly to solitons and instantons on noncommutative euclidean spaces. It is largely independent of the first part.

The main examples of noncommutative spaces considered in the first part were noncommutative tori and toroidal orbifolds. The corresponding algebras of functions are unital algebras. In the commutative case unital algebras correspond to compact spaces; one can say that noncommutative space is compact if the corresponding associative algebra has a unit element. Our exposition was based on Connes' noncommutative geometry and we started with compact spaces because main definitions and theorems are simpler in that case. However, the complications arising in non-unital (= non-compact) case are not very significant. Every algebra can be unitized by simply adding a unit element to it; using this construction we reduce all problems for non-unital algebras to the theory of unital algebras.

Noncommutative Euclidean space - the main object of this part- is very simple and we do not need the full strength of the theory developed by A. Connes and his followers. However, we will see that unitization remains a useful technical tool even in this simple case. We will use it in the study of solitons and instantons. The relation of this approach to the standard one will be thoroughly analyzed.

2 Noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$ spaces

2.1 Quantization and deformation

We begin with a more thorough discussion of deformation quantization. By definition classical observables are functions on a phase space or, in mathematical terminology, on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. In quantum mechanics observables are operators acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A quantization procedure assigns to a function f on $\mathcal{M}$ an operator $\hat{f}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$ and depending on a quantization parameter (Planck's constant) $\hbar$. It is required that in the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$

$$\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g} \approx \widehat{fg}, \quad [\hat{f}, \hat{g}] \approx -i\hbar \widehat{\{f, g\}} \quad (1)$$

where $\{.,.\}$ stand for the Poisson bracket corresponding to ω .

Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is a linear symplectic space with Darboux coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^n; p_1, \dots, p_n)$, i.e., $\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ and the symplectic form ω has the form

$$\omega = dx^1 \wedge dp_1 + \dots + dx^n \wedge dp_n.$$

Then we can take $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and introduce operators

$$\hat{x}^i \psi(x) = x^i \psi(x), \quad \hat{p}_i \psi(x) = i\hbar \partial_i \psi(x). \quad (2)$$

A construction of operator $\hat{f}$ corresponding to a function $f(x, p)$ is not unique. If f is a polynomial then it is natural to replace in it x^i, p_j by the corresponding operators. This prescription however is ambiguous because $\hat{x}^i$ and $\hat{p}_i$ do not commute. One possibility to deal with this ambiguity is to symmetrize with respect to all possible orders. Then, for example, $f = (x^1)^2 p_1$ is represented by

$$\frac{1}{3}(\hat{x}^1 \hat{p}_1 \hat{x}^1 + (\hat{x}^1)^2 \hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_1 (\hat{x}^1)^2).$$

This ordering prescription is called Weyl quantization. If operator $\hat{f}$ is obtained from a function $f(x, p)$ by means of Weyl quantization then we say that f is a Weyl symbol of $\hat{f}$. It is easy to verify that the Weyl symbol of an exponential operator $\exp(i(r \cdot \hat{x} + s \cdot \hat{p}))$ is $\exp(i(r \cdot x + s \cdot p))$. (Simply by combinatorial reasons, if one expands a power $(r \cdot \hat{x} + s \cdot \hat{p})^k$ each ordered monomial containing a given number of $\hat{x}$'s and $\hat{p}$'s will appear exactly ones.) Since the transition from symbols to operators preserves linear relations we can conclude from the last remark that for a given polynomial $f(x, p)$ with a Fourier transform $\phi(r, s)$

$$f(x, p) = \int dr ds e^{i(r \cdot x + s \cdot p)} \phi(r, s)$$

the operator corresponding to Weyl quantization rule can be written as

$$\hat{f}(x, p) = \int dr ds e^{i(r \cdot \hat{x} + s \cdot \hat{p})} \phi(r, s).$$

Using formula (2) and the last formula we can compute the expression for the matrix elements of operator $\hat{f}$ via its symbol

$$\langle x | \hat{f} | x' \rangle = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int dp e^{ip \cdot (x - x')/h} f\left(\frac{x + x'}{2}, p\right).$$

The inverse of this formula reads

$$f(x, p) = \int d\xi \langle x - \xi/2 | \hat{f} | x + \xi/2 \rangle e^{ip \cdot \xi/h}. \quad (3)$$

This formula can be taken as a definition of the Weyl symbol for an arbitrary operator $\hat{f}$. Using the last two formulas we can compute the composition law for Weyl symbols. A symbol $f * g(x, p)$ for a composition of operators $\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}$ with symbols $f(x, p)$, $g(x, p)$ reads

$$f * g(x, p) = (2\pi)^{-2n} \int d^n u_1 d^n u_2 d^n v_1 d^n v_2 e^{i(u_1 \cdot v_1 + u_2 \cdot v_2)} f\left(x + \frac{hu_2}{2}, p - \frac{hu_1}{2}\right) g(x + v_1, p + v_2). \quad (4)$$

The last formula determines a product of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. This product is called a star product, or Moyal product. It is obviously associative (because the operator multiplication is associative). Multiplication formula (4) can be generalized in the following way. Consider an antisymmetric $d \times d$ matrix θ^{ij} . We can define a multiplication of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by the formula

$$f * g(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int d^d u d^d v f\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\theta u\right) g(x + v) e^{iuv} \quad (5)$$

where x , u , v are d -dimensional vectors. We do not assume here that the matrix θ^{ij} is nondegenerate so that the formula also works for odd-dimensional $\mathbb{R}^d$ spaces. For the case $d = 2n$ formula (4) corresponds to the choice of the standard matrix θ^{ij}

$$(\theta_{st}^{ij}) = h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{n \times n} \\ -1_{n \times n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

It is not hard to see that as $\theta^{ij} \rightarrow 0$ the product $f * g(x)$ tends to a pointwise multiplication. Moreover the second formula in (1) is also satisfied for the Poisson bracket defined as $\{f, g\} = \partial_i f \theta^{ij} \partial_j g$.

2.2 Algebras $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$, $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ and $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$

To construct an algebra with multiplication rule (5) we need to specify the allowed class of functions $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For example one could consider a set of all polynomial functions that, as one can easily check, is closed under multiplication (5). However for the purposes of describing physical objects concentrated in a finite domain we also would like to consider functions decreasing at infinity. As we are interested primarily in smooth structures, say for the purposes of introducing connections etc., we will always assume that our functions are smooth. Also let us note that we will consider complex valued functions. So that the corresponding algebras are equipped with an involution coming from the complex conjugation.

One interesting class of functions is $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ - the class of Schwartz functions, i.e., infinitely differentiable functions with all derivatives tending to zero at infinity faster than any power. It is easy to check using (5) that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an algebra with respect to the star product defined by a matrix θ^{ij} . We denote this algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. If θ^{ij} is standard, formula (3) gives us an isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$ and an algebra of integral operators with matrix elements from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. This is clear from the fact that the Fourier transform of a function from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is again a function from this space. Using the fact that every nondegenerate antisymmetric matrix θ^{ij} can be brought into standard form by means of a linear change of coordinates, we see that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of integral operators with Schwartz class kernel.

Another interesting class is the set of smooth functions decreasing at infinity (i.e. functions such that their derivatives of all orders exist and tend to zero at infinity). These functions also form an algebra with respect to the star product multiplication (5) [6]. We denote this algebra $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$. If two functions f and g tend to zero at infinity fast enough then integral (5) is absolutely convergent and the star product $f * g$ is well defined. If we know only that the functions f and g tend to zero at infinity we cannot say that (5) is absolutely convergent. However, using the fact that the integrand in (5) contains a factor rapidly oscillating at infinity, we can make sense of this integral by means of integration by parts provided that derivatives of f and g also tend to zero at infinity.

One can consider classes of functions with a more specified asymptotics at infinity. Of particular interest are classes $\Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of smooth functions $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$|\partial_\alpha f(x)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m - \rho|\alpha|)}. \quad (7)$$

Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ is a multiindex so that $\partial_\alpha f(x)$ stands for $\partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d} f$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$. Also ρ is a real number between zero and one, not including zero, and m is a real number, C_α are positive constants. The condition (7) characterizes the behavior of f and its derivatives at infinity. In particular it says that if for large x a smooth function $f(x)$ has an asymptotics of a polynomial of degree less than m then it belongs to $\Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\rho = 1$. If m is negative the function falls off at infinity and each derivative increases the power of the falloff by ρ .

One can prove that if $f \in \Gamma_\rho^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in \Gamma_\rho^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then their star product is a function belonging to $\Gamma_\rho^{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus the set of functions $\Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a negative m forms an algebra with respect to the star product. If $d = 2n$ and θ is nondegenerate then the corresponding operators acting in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (operators having Weyl symbols from $\Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$) are called pseudodifferential operators of the class G_ρ^m (a good reference on pseudodifferential operators is [8]). In section 4.2 we will encounter other classes of pseudodifferential operators. Throughout the text we distinguish between the sets of operators and the corresponding

sets of functions (symbols of operators). The notation for the former ones will in general include a subscript θ .

The pseudodifferential operators have a well defined action on Schwartz class functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d/2}$. Below we will be interested only in the case $\rho = 1$. The algebra corresponding to the class of functions $\Gamma_1^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the star product (5) will be denoted as $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. Note that the algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of Schwartz class functions can be considered as an intersection $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) = \cap_{n=1}^\infty \Gamma^{-n}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$.

We will be interested in various field theories living on a noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$ space. Usually we consider fields (e.g. Yang-Mills fields) satisfying some boundary conditions at infinity. The choice of algebra that we work with is determined by the conditions at infinity we have in mind. It is useful to consider also along with the algebras $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ the corresponding unitized algebras denoted $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. For every algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we construct an algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by adding a unit element $\mathbf{1}$. The elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ can be written in the form $a + c \cdot \mathbf{1}$ where $a \in \mathcal{A}, c \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ tending to zero at infinity then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of continuous functions on a d -dimensional sphere S^d . In general by unitizing the algebra of continuous functions on a locally compact space X that tend to zero at infinity we obtain the algebra of continuous functions on its one-point compactification $X \sqcup \{\infty\}$. This means that the transition from $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ to $\tilde{\Gamma}^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d), \tilde{\mathbb{R}}_\theta^d$ can be considered in some sense as a one-point compactification of a noncommutative Euclidean space, i.e., as a transition to a topological (but not metric!) d -dimensional noncommutative sphere.

Trace. One can define a trace on the algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. For any element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ the trace is given by the integral over $\mathbb{R}^d$ of the function $f(x)$ representing this element. This definition makes sense because the Schwartz class functions are all integrable. As for the algebras $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ and $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$, in general not every element belonging to them is integrable. So the trace is defined only for some subset of elements on this algebras. Note however that the functions from $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$, $m < -d$ are integrable and the integral can be considered as a trace on the algebra $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ (the trace of commutator vanishes).

We can formally extend the trace defined above to the unitized algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by specifying the value of the trace on the unit element. There is no natural way to do it and this value can be arbitrarily chosen.

In the case of nondegenerate θ the algebras above can be considered as algebras of pseudodifferential operators and thus one can consider the usual operator trace on them. The connection of the operator trace and the one given by the integral (of the symbol) can be derived from formulas (3), (5). It is given by the relation

$$\int d^n q \langle q | \hat{f} | q \rangle = \int \frac{d^n q d^n p}{(2\pi)^n} f(q, p) \quad (8)$$

where $n = d/2$ and $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n), p = (p^1, \dots, p^n)$ are Darboux coordinates in which θ^{ij} has the standard form (6) with $h = 1$. If we are not working in Darboux coordinates then the relation between operator trace and the trace defined as an integral of symbol with respect to arbitrary coordinate system $x^1, \dots, x^d$ contains a Jacobian of the transition to Darboux coordinates. We have

$$\hat{\text{Tr}} \hat{f} = |\text{Pfaff}(2\pi\theta)|^{-1} \int d^d x f(x) \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{\text{Tr}}$ stands for the operator trace to be distinguished from the trace given by the integral

$$\text{Tr} f \equiv \int d^d x f(x). \quad (10)$$

This trace has the advantage of being well defined for a degenerate θ whereas the operator trace blows up when θ becomes degenerate.

2.3 Projective modules and endomorphisms

For any algebra $\mathcal{A}$ one can consider a (left) module $\mathcal{A}^N$ consisting of column vectors with entries in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is unital then an arbitrary element $f \in \mathcal{A}^N$ has a unique representation

$$f = a_1 \cdot e^1 + \dots + a_N \cdot e^N$$

where

$$e^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad e^N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from this remark that an $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map $\phi : \mathcal{A}^N \rightarrow E$ of $\mathcal{A}^N$ into some other module E is specified by the images $\phi(e^1), \dots, \phi(e^N)$. Moreover these images can be arbitrarily chosen. In other words the elements $e^1, \dots, e^N$ constitute a free system of generators of $\mathcal{A}^N$; the module $\mathcal{A}^N$ is thus free. In particular if E coincides with $\mathcal{A}^N$ then $\mathcal{A}$ -linear maps are endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}^N$. Regarding $\phi(e^1), \dots, \phi(e^N)$ as an $N \times N$ matrix we obtain a correspondence between endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}^N$ and $N \times N$ matrices with entries from $\mathcal{A}$. (Every endomorphism can be considered as a multiplication of a column vector by such a matrix from the right.)

Let us emphasize that although the module $\mathcal{A}^N$ can be also considered for non-unital algebras $\mathcal{A}$ it is not a free module in that case (one cannot find a system of free generators). As earlier $N \times N$ matrices with entries from $\mathcal{A}$ specify endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}^N$ but in general not all endomorphisms can be represented like this. For example for the algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ the endomorphisms algebra of the module $\mathcal{A}^1$ includes all smooth functions with power-like behavior at infinity (in particular growing) acting for left modules by star multiplication from the right.

A projective module E over a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as a direct summand in $\mathcal{A}^N$. We can then represent E as an image of a projector $p : \mathcal{A}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^N$ (an endomorphism obeying $p^2 = p$, $p^\dagger = p$). Note that we use the notion of projective module only for unital algebras. In that case the notion of projective module corresponds to the notion of a vector bundle in the commutative geometry (see the general discussion in section 5.1, part I). As we discussed above for any algebra $\mathcal{A}$ one can construct a unitized algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Then every $\mathcal{A}$ -module E can be considered as a module over $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ if we represent $\mathbf{1}$ by the identity operator in E . It is important to note that this trivial transition from an $\mathcal{A}$ -module to a $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -module is not just an irrelevant formality. It leads to a change of endomorphism algebra and therefore to a change of corresponding physics (to a change in asymptotic behavior of the allowed fields).

Let us consider modules over algebras $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. We assume the matrix θ^{ij} to be nondegenerate. (We fix our attention on this particular algebra only for definiteness. The modification for other algebras will be discussed later.)

As we have already noted the algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of integral operators acting on functions of $d/2$ variables. It is convenient for the future to restrict this action on the (invariant) subspace of Schwartz class functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ because all other algebras introduced above also have a well defined action on this subspace. Thus the space $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ can be considered as an $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ -module. We can also consider $\mathcal{F}$ as an $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ -module simply representing $\mathbf{1}$ by the identity operator

Let us give another construction of module $\mathcal{F}$ that shows that it is a projective module over $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. Fix an element $p \in \mathcal{A} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $p * p = p$. For example in the case $d = 2$ it is easy to check using (5) that this equality is satisfied by the function

$$p(x) = 2e^{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/\theta}$$

where $\theta > 0$ is the parameter of noncommutativity. It is easy to compute then

$$\int d^2 p(x) = 2\pi\theta, \quad (11)$$

that according to (9) corresponds to the operator trace of p being equal to one. Let us come back now to the case of an arbitrary even dimension d . Choose p that satisfies $p * p = p$ and in addition require that

$$\int p dx = |\text{Pfaff}(2\pi\theta)|$$

that as in the above $d = 2$ example means that we fix the operator trace of p to be one. The conditions imposed on p mean that it is a projector operator on a one-dimensional subspace in $\mathcal{F}$. Now to construct the corresponding (left) projective module we should consider elements of the form $a \cdot p$, $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Without loss of generality one can assume that p has matrix elements of the form $\langle x | \hat{p} | x' \rangle = \bar{\alpha}(x) \cdot \alpha(x')$. Then matrix elements of an arbitrary element in our module can be written as

$$\langle x | \hat{a} \hat{p} | x' \rangle = \bar{\alpha}(x) \rho(x')$$

where $\rho(x') \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$. The mapping $ap \rightarrow \rho(x')$ establishes an isomorphism between the two pictures of the module $\mathcal{F}$. Since the element p is a projector in algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ we conclude from the second construction that $\mathcal{F}$ is projective.

Note that there is also a well defined action of operators $\hat{x}^j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$ (and polynomials thereof) on $\mathcal{F}$. In fact $\mathcal{F}$ furnishes an irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra

$$[\hat{x}^j, \hat{x}^k] = i\theta^{jk} \cdot \mathbf{1}. \quad (12)$$

By Stone- von Neumann theorem there is a unique irreducible representation of the canonical commutation relations in Hilbert space and one can obtain all other representations by taking direct sums of several copies of the irreducible one. Note that the Schwartz class functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ arises naturally as a common domain for operators $\hat{x}$ within the whole $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$. By examining the proof of this theorem (that uses the canonical commutation relations in the exponentiated Weyl form) we can argue that the corresponding representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ is also irreducible.

There is yet another construction of the module $\mathcal{F}$ frequently used in physics literature. By a linear transformation the set of operators $\hat{x}^i$ satisfying (12) can be brought to a set $a_k, a_l^\dagger$, $k, l = 1, \dots, d/2$ that satisfy

$$[a_k, a_l^\dagger] = \delta_{kl}, \quad [a_k, a_l] = [a_k^\dagger, a_l^\dagger] = 0. \quad (13)$$

One can think then of $\mathcal{F}$ as of a Fock space spanned by vectors

$$a_{k_1}^\dagger \cdot \dots \cdot a_{k_n}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (14)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is a Fock vacuum state, annihilated by all a_k 's. In the representation of $\mathcal{F}$ used above in terms of functions of $d/2$ variables the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ corresponds to a Gaussian function $e^{-x \cdot x}$. Clearly this function as well as any vector of the form (14) lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$. Imposing the same restriction on infinite linear combinations of vectors (14) is natural from the point of view that they constitute a common domain of definition for operators $a_k, a_l^\dagger$ (and polynomials of them). Below we will frequently refer to $\mathcal{F}$ as a Fock module.

When dealing with field theories on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$ spaces we will be mostly working with endomorphisms rather than with the algebra itself. It is convenient therefore to have left acting endomorphisms and right modules. From now on we will work only with right modules. The right Fock module for which we will keep the same notation $\mathcal{F}$ consists of linear functionals on the original Fock module. We will adopt the ket-vector notation $\langle \cdot |$ for its elements. The algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ considered as an algebra of operators on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ then acts naturally as $\langle \cdot | \mapsto \langle \cdot | \hat{a}, \hat{a} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Due to the irreducibility of the module $\mathcal{F}$ its endomorphisms are trivial. More generally for k copies of $\mathcal{F}$ endomorphisms are $k \times k$ matrices with numerical entries, that act naturally from the left.

A (right) free module of rank N consists of N -columns of elements from $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and the algebra acts by multiplication from the right. Endomorphisms of this module are $N \times N$ matrices with $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -valued entries, that act on the N -columns from the left in the natural way.

One can prove [7] that any projective module over $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to a module $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$. Thus it is characterized by two nonnegative integers k, N . In the case of $d = 2$ these integers can be thought of as a magnetic flux number and a rank of the gauge group, and for $d = 4$ k plays the role of Pontryagin number and N of the rank of the gauge group. The K_0 -group of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is therefore $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ that matches with the K_0 -group of the even-dimensional sphere. The positive cone however is different. For instance one cannot realize modules with a negative magnetic flux or Pontryagin number. One can attribute this to a labeling problem - there is no natural way to distinguish the deformations corresponding to θ^{ij} and $-\theta^{ij}$.

One can represent an endomorphism X of a module $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$ in a block form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is a $k \times k$ matrix with $\mathbb{C}$ -valued entries, B is a $k \times N$ matrix whose entries are elements from $\mathcal{F}$, C is a $N \times k$ matrix with entries from the dual space $\mathcal{F}^*$ and D is an $N \times N$ matrix with entries belonging to $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. (Later using the fact that the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}$ we shall consider its elements as operators $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.) In the case when the endomorphism X is hermitian the matrix A is hermitian, D is a hermitian operator, and $B = C^\dagger$. It is convenient to represent such an endomorphism in the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^j & \langle b_i^\beta | \\ |b_\alpha^j \rangle & \hat{D}_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where the indices i, j run from 1 to k and the indices α, β run from 1 to N . This endomorphism maps an element

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_i | \\ \hat{V}_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$$

into

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_i^j & \langle b_i^\beta | \\ |b_\alpha^j\rangle & \hat{D}_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_i | \\ \hat{V}_\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^j \langle v_j | + \langle b_i^\beta | \hat{V}_\beta \\ |b_\alpha^j\rangle \langle v_j | + \hat{D}_\alpha^\beta \cdot \hat{V}_\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The composition of two endomorphisms is also quite transparent in the notations (15):

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_i^j & \langle b_i^\beta | \\ |b_\alpha^j\rangle & \hat{D}_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_j^k & \langle c_j^\gamma | \\ |c_\beta^k\rangle & \hat{E}_\beta^\gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (AB)_i^k + \langle b_i^\beta | c_\beta^k \rangle & A_i^j \langle c_j^\gamma | + \langle b_i^\beta | \hat{E}_\beta^\gamma \\ |b_\alpha^j\rangle B_j^k + \hat{D}_\alpha^\beta |c_\beta^k\rangle & |b_\alpha^j\rangle \langle c_j^\gamma | + \hat{D}_\alpha^\beta \hat{E}_\beta^\gamma \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

where the summation over repeated upper and lower indices is assumed. To summarize manipulations with endomorphisms follow a simple rule of matrix multiplication plus writing one term next to another in the given order and making sense of the resulting expression as whatever it appears to look like, e.g. a ket-vector followed by a bra-vector is an operator (element of the algebra), same elements in the opposite order give rise to a number, etc.

A normalized trace specified on a unital algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ gives rise to a normalized trace on an algebra of endomorphisms of any projective module over this algebra. If the projector module at hand is specified by a projection $P : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^N \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^N$ then endomorphisms of the module specified by the image of P can be considered as a subalgebra of the matrix algebra $Mat_N \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of the elements of the form PeP , $e \in Mat_N \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Restricting the natural trace on the matrix algebra to this subspace we obtain a trace on the algebra of endomorphisms. It is not hard to derive from (9) that the trace specified by integration (10) induces a trace on the endomorphisms so that for a general endomorphism (15) we have

$$\text{Tr} X = |\text{Pfaff}(2\pi\theta)| \sum_{i=1}^k A_i^i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \int d^d x D_\alpha^\alpha(x), \quad (17)$$

where $D_\alpha^\beta(x)$ is the matrix-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ representing the operator $\hat{D}_\alpha^\beta$. Again it should be noted that this trace is well defined only for a certain subclass of endomorphisms. Namely the trace (17) exists when $D_\alpha^\alpha(x)$ is integrable. For $N > 0$ that condition evidently excludes the identity endomorphism. However for a module $\mathcal{F}^k$ we have

$$\text{Tr} 1 = k \cdot |\text{Pfaff}(2\pi\theta)| = \text{Tr} P_k$$

where P_k stands for a projector operator $P_k : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^k \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^k$ that singles out $\mathcal{F}^k$ in the corresponding free module.

2.4 Inner products

In section 5.4 of part I where we concentrated on unital algebras we explained that if an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with involution then one can define a $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ on a free module $\mathcal{A}^N$ by the formula

$$\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \langle (a_1, \dots, a_N), (b_1, \dots, b_N) \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^* b_i. \quad (18)$$

Similarly we can define an $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product on modules $\mathcal{A}^N$ over nonunital algebras by exactly same formula. The inner product (18) satisfies

$$\langle e_1 a, e_2 b \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = a^* \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} b \quad (19)$$

for any two elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and any two vectors $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{A}^N$. In general we say that an $\mathcal{A}$ -module E is a Hilbert module if it is equipped with an $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product satisfying (19). It is easy to construct the appropriate inner products on modules $\mathcal{A}^N$ and $\mathcal{F}^k$ described in section 2.3 over any of the algebras introduced in section 2.2. For the first type of modules formula (18) works. For $\mathcal{F}^k$ the construction is as follows. Let $\phi, \chi \in \mathcal{F}^k$. The inner product $\langle \phi, \chi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by the element of $\mathcal{A}$ specified by the composition $\phi \circ \chi^* \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^k, \mathcal{F}^k)$. Using the Dirac bra and ket notations the construction reads

$$\langle \phi, \chi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = |\phi\rangle\langle\chi|.$$

We say that an endomorphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow E$ is hermitian if for a given $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ it satisfies

$$\langle e_1, \Phi e_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \Phi e_1, e_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for any two elements $e_1, e_2 \in E$. An endomorphism is called antihermitian if it satisfies the above equality with the minus sign. For the modules $\mathcal{A}^N \oplus \mathcal{F}^k$ discussed above this general definition is equivalent to the requirement that hermitian endomorphisms should have the form (15).

2.5 Connections

Translations in space $\mathbb{R}^d$ induce an action of the corresponding abelian Lie group on the algebras $\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is one of the algebras introduced in section 2.2. One defines a connection ∇_i on projective module E over $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in standard way. Namely ∇_i are operators $E \rightarrow E$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_i(e \cdot f) = e \cdot (\partial_i f) + (\nabla_i(e)) \cdot f \quad (20)$$

for arbitrary $e \in E$ and $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Here ∂_i stand for the infinitesimal action of the translation in the i -th direction that is represented on functions by the partial derivative denoted the same way. On a free module $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$ an arbitrary connection can be written as

$$\nabla_i = \partial_i + (\hat{A}_i)_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

where $(\hat{A}_i)_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ represents an endomorphism.

Consider now a module $\mathcal{F}$. As we discussed above we have a well defined action of operators $\hat{X}^i$ on this module. One can check that operators of right multiplication by $i\theta_{jk}^{-1}\hat{x}^k$ denoted $\nabla_j^{(0)}$ satisfy the Leibniz rule (20). This connection has the curvature

$$F_{jk} = [\nabla_j^{(0)}, \nabla_k^{(0)}] = -i\theta_{jk}^{-1}\mathbf{1}.$$

We will work with antihermitian connections. This means that an arbitrary connection can be represented as $\nabla_j = \nabla_j^0 + iA_j$ where ∇_j^0 is some fiducial (antihermitian) connection and A_j is a hermitian endomorphism. We can choose a fiducial connection on a general module $E = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$ as

$$\nabla_j^0 = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_{jk}^{-1}\hat{x}^k & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

This connection acts on an arbitrary element $V \in E$ as

$$\nabla_j^0 \begin{pmatrix} \langle v| \\ \hat{V} \end{pmatrix} = i(\theta^{-1})_{jk} \begin{pmatrix} \langle v|\hat{x}^k \\ -(\hat{x}^k \hat{V} - \hat{V}_\alpha \hat{x}^k) \end{pmatrix}$$

where for clarity we dropped the vector indices at $\langle v|$ and V .

An arbitrary antihermitian connection on E has the form

$$\nabla_j = \nabla_j^0 + i \begin{pmatrix} (A_j)_i^k & \langle (b_j)_i^\beta | \\ |(b_j)_\alpha^k \rangle & (\hat{D}_j)_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Complex coordinates. It is often convenient to use standard set of complex coordinates z_α $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ where $n = d/2$ constructed as follows. Let us first bring the matrix θ^{ij} by means of an $SO(d)$ transformation to standard form

$$\theta^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \\ -\text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

written here in $n \times n$ block form.

Let us introduce complex coordinates

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^1 + ix^{n+1}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^2 + ix^{n+2}), \dots, z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^n + ix^{2n}). \quad (24)$$

It is further convenient to introduce annihilation operators

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\theta_1|}}(\hat{x}^1 + i \cdot \text{sgn}(\theta_1)\hat{x}^{n+1}), a_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\theta_2|}}(\hat{x}^2 + i \cdot \text{sgn}(\theta_2)\hat{x}^{n+2}), \\ \dots, a_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\theta_n|}}(\hat{x}^n + i \cdot \text{sgn}(\theta_n)\hat{x}^{2n})$$

and creation operators $a_\alpha^\dagger$ which are hermitian conjugates of a_α . They satisfy the canonical commutation relations (13). The sign factors $\text{sgn}(\theta_\alpha)$ allow us to treat arbitrary θ^{jk} of the form (23) that might have positive as well as negative eigenvalues θ_i . The correspondence between the operators $\hat{z}_\alpha$ and $a_\alpha, a_\alpha^\dagger$ now has the following form

$$\hat{z}_\alpha = \begin{cases} a_\alpha(\theta_\alpha)^{1/2}, & \theta_\alpha > 0 \\ a_\alpha^\dagger|\theta_\alpha|^{1/2}, & \theta_\alpha < 0 \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

with the conjugated expressions for $\hat{\bar{z}}_\alpha$.

In coordinates $z_\alpha, \bar{z}_\alpha$ we obtain

$$\nabla_{z_\alpha}^0 \begin{pmatrix} \langle v| \\ \hat{V} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\theta_\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \langle v|\hat{\bar{z}}_\alpha \\ -[\hat{\bar{z}}_\alpha, \hat{V}] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

An arbitrary connection has the form

$$\nabla_{z_j} = \nabla_{z_j}^0 + \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha & \langle b_\alpha | \\ |c_\alpha \rangle & \hat{D}_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}_\alpha} = (\nabla_{z_j}^0)^\dagger + \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha^\dagger & \langle c_\alpha | \\ |b_\alpha \rangle & \hat{D}_\alpha^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

where for brevity we omitted the matrix indices.

2.6 Yang-Mills and scalar fields

Once we described endomorphisms and connections on modules over $\mathcal{A}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ we are ready to define field theories on these modules. To write action functionals specifying these theories we first choose a metric tensor g_{ij} on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a help of which we will be raising and lowering the indices.

A connection on a module E is called a Yang-Mills field, an endomorphism is a scalar field that in some sense is an analogue of a (commutative) scalar field in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. It is also possible to define scalar fields “in the fundamental representation” that are elements of the module itself. To obtain a number-valued functional of these fields we will be using the trace defined according to (10), (17).

Let us first explain the case when the scalar fields are endomorphisms that will be our primary case of interest in this review. We define a Yang-Mills - scalar fields action functional as

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{jk} F^{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} [\nabla_j, \Phi^{\alpha}] [\nabla^j, \Phi^{\alpha}] + V(\Phi) \right) \quad (27)$$

where Φ^{α} , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ are endomorphisms representing n scalar fields, $V(\Phi)$ is a potential that we will assume to be a polynomial. It is easy to introduce fermionic fields, but for now we will concentrate on bosonic systems.

The equations of motion corresponding to functional (27) read

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla^j, [\nabla_j, \nabla_k]] &= - \sum_{\alpha} \Phi^{\alpha} [\nabla_k, \Phi_{\alpha}], \\ [\nabla^j, [\nabla_j, \Phi_{\alpha}]] &= \partial_{\alpha} V(\Phi) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\partial_{\alpha} V$ stands for the partial derivative of the potential with respect to the α -th variable.

Consider now scalar fields Ψ_{α} “in the fundamental representation”, that is $\Psi_{\alpha} \in E$ are elements of the module E itself. In that case the action functional analogous to (27) can be constructed with a help of the $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product on E introduced in section 2.4. Namely the action functional reads

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{jk} F^{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \langle \nabla_j \Psi^{\alpha}, \nabla^j \Psi^{\alpha} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} + V(\langle \Psi_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\beta} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}) \right). \quad (29)$$

3 Solitons

3.1 Finite energy solutions

We can look for solutions to the equations of motion (28) that have a finite action, i.e. the functional (27) is well defined and finite. We will refer to this type of solutions as solitons. On a general module $E = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$ the scalar fields Φ_{α} and the Yang-Mills field ∇_i can be decomposed according to (15) and (22) respectively. Let us first consider the case of a single scalar field Φ . Let $\{\phi_0, \dots, \phi_p\}$ be the set of extrema of the function $V(\Phi)$. Also assume that $V(\phi_0) = 0$. Then we have a set of simple finite action solutions to (28) constructed as follows. The solutions have a block-diagonal form with respect to the decomposition $E = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$. The Yang-Mills fields are

$$\nabla_j = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_{jk}^{-1} \hat{x}^k & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_j \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} (D_j)_i^l & 0 \\ 0 & (\hat{D}_j)_{\beta}^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

where

$$(D_j)_i^l = \begin{pmatrix} d_{j(1)} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & d_{j(k)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\hat{D}_j)_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{j(1)} \cdot \mathbf{1} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & a_{j(N)} \cdot \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

and $d_{j(i)}$, $a_{j(\alpha)}$ are all numbers. The scalar field reads

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_0 \cdot \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

where each λ_i is an element of the set of extrema $\{\phi_i\}$. Substituting these solutions into (27) and using the definition of trace (17) one finds the value of the soliton action

$$S = \frac{|\text{Pfaff}(2\pi\theta)|}{g^2} \left(\sum_1^k V(\lambda_i) + \frac{k}{4} (\theta^{-1})_{in} g^{ij} g^{nl} (\theta^{-1})_{jl} \right). \quad (33)$$

One sees from this expression that the moduli d_i , a_α are zero modes of the solitons.

3.2 Partial isometries

In the previous section we constructed finite energy solutions to the equations of motion by starting with a module $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\mathcal{A})^N$. We think that this approach is more in the spirit of noncommutative geometry than the ideology accepted in the literature based on partial isometry operators and most clearly stated in [37]. In this section we would like to explain the connection between the two pictures.

This connection is based on the remark that $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\mathcal{A})^N$ considered as an $\mathcal{A}$ module is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{A})^N$. This isomorphism permits us to represent a very simple solution on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\mathcal{A})^N$ as a more complicated solution on a simpler module $(\mathcal{A})^N$. A rigorous proof of this isomorphism will be given in section 4.2. Here we will present a very transparent but not completely rigorous consideration restricting ourselves for simplicity to the case $N = 1$.

Notice that a free module $(\mathcal{A})^1$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of countably many copies of module $\mathcal{F}$. This can be done in the following way. As it was explained in section 2.2 each algebra $\mathcal{A}$ acts on a subset of $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ consisting of Schwartz class functions. Let e_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be a countable basis in $\mathcal{H}$ such that each e_i belongs to the Schwartz space. For example one can take the basis consisting of energy eigenfunctions of a d -dimensional harmonic oscillator. Then the rank one free module can be decomposed as

$$(\mathcal{A})^1 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} P_i \cdot \mathcal{A}$$

where $P_i = |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$ are orthogonal projectors onto the one-dimensional subspaces spanned by the vectors e_i . Each submodule $P_i \cdot \mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$. The isomorphism is established by means of the mapping

$$P_i \cdot f \mapsto \langle e_i | f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2}) \cong \mathcal{F}.$$

As a consequence of the above decomposition the modules $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\mathcal{A})^1$ and $(\mathcal{A})^1$ are isomorphic. Using this isomorphism we construct a solution to the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations of motion on $(\mathcal{A})^1$ by first taking the solutions on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\mathcal{A})^1$ described in the previous section and then applying the isomorphism. To this end let us first choose a particular isomorphism. This can be done by choosing a basis $e_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2}) \subset \mathcal{H}$ in such a way that $\mathcal{F}^k$ sitting inside $(\mathcal{A})^1$ is identified with $\oplus_{i=0}^{k-1} P_i \cdot \mathcal{A} \equiv \Pi_k \cdot \mathcal{A}$, $\Pi_k = \oplus_{i=0}^{k-1} P_i$. Define an operator

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |e_{i+1}\rangle \langle e_i| : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}.$$

It can be easily checked to satisfy

$$(S^\dagger)^k S^k = 1, \quad S^k (S^\dagger)^k = 1 - \Pi_k. \quad (34)$$

An operator satisfying such a property is called a partial isometry.

The desired isomorphism is established by a mapping $M : \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\mathcal{A})^1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^1$ defined as

$$M : \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle e_i | f, g \rangle \right) \mapsto \Pi_k \cdot f + S^k \cdot g.$$

The inverse mapping is

$$M^{-1} : f \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle e_i | f, (S^\dagger)^k \cdot f \rangle \right).$$

Here the dot “.” stands for the composition of operators.

Let us first find the image $\tilde{\nabla}_j^0 : (\mathcal{A})^1 \rightarrow (\mathcal{A})^1$ of the standard connection (21) under this isomorphism. We have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_j^0(f) = M \nabla_j^0 M^{-1}(f) = i \Pi_k \cdot f \cdot \theta_{jl}^{-1} \hat{x}^l + S^k \partial_j (S^\dagger)^k f.$$

Using the identity

$$-i[\theta_{jl}^{-1} \hat{x}^l, f] = \partial_j(f) \quad (35)$$

we obtain from the above expression

$$\tilde{\nabla}_j^0 = \partial_j + S^k [\partial_j, (S^\dagger)^k] + i \theta_{jl}^{-1} \Pi_k \cdot \hat{x}^l. \quad (36)$$

It is also easy to check that under the isomorphism M the gauge field (31) and the scalar field (32) give rise to the following operators on $\mathcal{A}^1$

$$\tilde{A}_j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} d_{j(i+1)} |e_i\rangle \langle e_i| + a_j (1 - \Pi_k), \quad (37)$$

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{i+1} |e_i\rangle \langle e_i| + \phi_0 (1 - \Pi_k). \quad (38)$$

Thus the whole connection (30) on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^N$ corresponds to a connection $\tilde{\nabla}_j$ on $\mathcal{A}^1$ of the form $\tilde{\nabla}_j = \tilde{\nabla}_j^0 + \tilde{A}_j$ where $\tilde{\nabla}_j^0, \tilde{A}_j$ are given by expressions (36), (37).

To compare these expressions with the ones obtained in [37] whose conventions are most widely used in the literature we first rewrite the connection (36) in the complex coordinates (24):

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{z_\alpha}^0 = \partial_{z_\alpha} + S^k [\partial_{z_\alpha}, (S^\dagger)^k] + \theta_\alpha^{-1/2} \Pi_k \cdot a_\alpha^\dagger$$

where for simplicity we assumed all $\theta_\alpha > 0$. Using identities (34) and (35)) we can rewrite it as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{z_\alpha}^0 = \partial_{z_\alpha} - \theta_\alpha^{-1/2} (S^k \cdot a_\alpha^\dagger \cdot (S^\dagger)^k - a_\alpha^\dagger).$$

Now the case considered in [37] corresponds to $d = 2$, $\lambda_i = \phi_\star$, $\phi_0 = 0$. Then the solution with $d_{j(i+1)} = 0$, $a_j = 0$ that in the language of [37] corresponds to dressing a trivial gauge field by a partial isometry S^k has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_z^0 &= \partial_z + \theta^{-1/2} (a^\dagger - C), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{z}}^0 &= \partial_{\bar{z}} + \theta^{-1/2} (a - C^\dagger), \\ \tilde{\Phi} &= \phi_\star (1 - \Pi_k)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = S^k a^\dagger (S^\dagger)^k, \quad C^\dagger = S^k a (S^\dagger)^k. \quad (39)$$

These expressions up to some minor differences in notations coincide with the ones obtained in [37].

We feel that the following pedagogical remark is in order here. Although the ideology of doing “dressing transformations” by partial isometries (34) to generate new solutions of Yang-Mills-Higgs equations (28) may seem quite appealing in its simplicity, it should be taken with some care. Thus if one regards (28) merely as an algebraic equation satisfied by operators ∇_j , Φ^α then naively one may wish to generate new solutions by simply taking a transformation

$$\nabla_j \mapsto \nabla'_j = S^k \nabla_j (S^\dagger)^k, \quad \Phi^\alpha \mapsto \Phi^{\alpha'} = S^k \Phi^\alpha (S^\dagger)^k.$$

Although formally this transformation results in operators ∇'_j , $\Phi^{\alpha'}$ satisfying (28) it cannot be considered as a new solution to the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations of motion because the operators ∇'_j fail to satisfy Leibniz rule and thus are not Yang-Mills fields any more. The construction of [37] circumvents this problem essentially by a trick, introducing fields C , $C^\dagger$ that are endomorphisms and performing a dressing transformation (39) on these fields and not on ∇_j ’s directly.

3.3 Scalar field solitons in the $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ limit

Consider a single scalar field Φ on a module $\mathcal{A}^N$ with action functional

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d^d x \left(\frac{1}{2} [\partial_i, \Phi] [\partial^i, \Phi] + V(\Phi) \right) \quad (40)$$

where the function $V(x)$ is assumed to be a polynomial, $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^N$ is Hermitian and g is a coupling constant. For simplicity we will assume $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ and that θ^{ij} is brought to the standard form (23). Moreover we will take $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_{d/2} = \theta > 0$ so that noncommutativity is parameterized by a single parameter θ . Following [24] let us further

rescale the coordinates x^i on $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ as $x^i \mapsto x^i \sqrt{\theta}$. Rescaling also the coupling constant as $g^2 \mapsto g^2/\theta^{d/2}$ we obtain the action functional

$$S' = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d^d x \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} [\partial_i, \Phi] [\partial^i, \Phi] + V(\Phi) \right). \quad (41)$$

Now the operators corresponding to rescaled coordinates all satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}^j, \hat{x}^{j+n}] = i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad (42)$$

and θ enters (41) as a formal parameter. We see that taking the limit $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ results in dropping the kinetic term from (41) while the algebra we work with stays the same specified by (42). Thus when $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ the approximate equations of motion take a very simple form

$$V'(\Phi) = 0 \quad (43)$$

where V' stands for the derivative of $V(x)$. For a polynomial potential $V(x)$ it is easy to find the complete set of solutions to (43). Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p$ be a set of zeroes of $V'(x)$. Considering Φ as a pseudodifferential operator acting in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we immediately imply from (43) that any eigenvalue of operator Φ should coincide with one of the numbers ϕ_i . Therefore we can represent Φ as

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i P_i \quad (44)$$

where P_i are orthogonal projectors on subspaces $\mathcal{H}_i$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i=1}^p \mathcal{H}_i$. The energy of solution (44) in the limit $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ when we can neglect the kinetic term is given by

$$E = \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^p \text{Tr} P_i V(\phi_i) = \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^p \dim \mathcal{H}_i V(\phi_i).$$

From this expression we see that the energy is finite provided the dimensions $\dim \mathcal{H}_i$ are infinite only when the corresponding $V(\phi_i)$ vanish. This in its turn may be possible only when the set of extrema of $V(x)$ and the set of its zeroes has a nontrivial intersection. It is convenient to choose $x = 0$ to be such a point. That means that $V(x)$ is of the form $V(x) = x^2 \tilde{V}(x)$ where $\tilde{V}(x)$ is an arbitrary polynomial. In the case when $x = 0$ is the only such point an arbitrary (asymptotic) finite energy solution can be written as $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i P_i$ where $\text{Tr} P_i < \infty$ for all i .

It is not hard to implement first order corrections induced by nonzero kinetic term. We can write an expansion for the exact solution

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\theta} \Phi_1 + \dots$$

where Φ_0 has the form (44). The first order correction to the energy is then given by

$$K \equiv \frac{1}{2\theta g^2} \text{Tr} [\partial_i, \Phi_0] [\partial^i, \Phi_0].$$

Thus we may seek an improved solution by minimizing the kinetic energy over all zero order solutions Φ_0 [24], [44], [48]. For simplicity let us consider the case when Φ_0 is of the form

$$\Phi_0 = \phi_1 P = \phi_1 \sum_{i=0}^k |e_i\rangle \langle e_i|$$

where $|e_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is a finite orthonormal system of vectors. Substituting it in the kinetic energy and employing complex coordinates (24) we obtain

$$K = -\frac{1}{2\theta g^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d/2} \text{Tr}[a_\alpha, \Phi_0][a_\alpha^\dagger, \Phi_0] = \frac{\phi_1^2}{\theta g^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d/2} \text{Tr}(F_\alpha^\dagger F_\alpha + P) \quad (45)$$

where

$$F_\alpha = (1 - P)a_\alpha P.$$

And we see from this expression that the kinetic energy satisfies a Bogomolnyi type bound

$$K \geq \frac{\phi_1^2 k d}{2\theta g^2}$$

that is saturated by the projectors P satisfying $(1 - P)a_\alpha P = 0$ that means that P projects on a subspace invariant under annihilation operators a_α . Such subspaces are spanned by coherent states

$$|z\rangle = e^{z^\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger} |0\rangle$$

that are eigenstates of operators a_α with eigenvalues $z^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The numbers z^α can be considered as complex coordinates on the moduli space of approximate (first order in $1/\theta$) solutions to the equation of motion. Summarizing the above discussion we can say that strictly at the limit $\theta = \infty$ we have general solutions to the equation of motion of the form (44) labelled by a collection of p orthogonal projectors P_i on finite-dimensional subspaces. Each such projector of rank k specifies a point in an infinite-dimensional Grassmannian $Gr(k, \mathcal{H})$, i.e., the corresponding moduli space of solutions is infinite-dimensional (it is natural to identify solutions related by a unitary transformation). However if one takes into account finite θ corrections the corresponding moduli space reduces to a finite-dimensional complex manifold.

It was argued in the original paper [24] that the exact solitonic solutions in scalar theories exist at finite θ . Recently the existence of rotationally invariant solitons at finite θ was proved rigorously in [53]. Namely the authors of [53] obtained the following result. Let P_i denote the orthogonal projectors onto the eigenspaces of the number operator

$$N = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d/2} a_\alpha^\dagger a_\alpha$$

of the $d/2$ -dimensional harmonic oscillator. Then *for any projection P on $\mathcal{H} \cong L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$, which is the sum of a finite number of projections P_i , there is a unique family Φ_θ of rotationally invariant solutions to the equation of motion*

$$[\partial_i, [\partial^i, \Phi]] = \theta V'(\Phi)$$

that depend smoothly on θ and satisfy $V''(\Phi_\theta) > 0$ and $\Phi_\theta \rightarrow \phi \cdot P$ in Hilbert-Schmidt operator norm as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$. Here ϕ stands for the local minimum of $V(x)$. The theorem is proved in the assumption that V has exactly one local minimum and one local maximum (see [53] for details).

A similar construction to (44) also applies to the case of noncommutative tori that were discussed at length in the first part of this review. In that case we may consider a single

scalar field Φ taking values in endomorphisms of a free module $(T_\theta^d)^N$, i.e., Φ is an $N \times N$ matrix with entries from T_θ^d . The action functional is

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \text{Tr}([\partial_i, \Phi][\partial^i, \Phi] + V(\Phi)) \quad (46)$$

where ∂_i is the standard derivative on E and Tr is the canonical trace on the algebra of endomorphisms (see part I for precise definitions and discussion). The matrix θ^{ij} specifying the noncommutative torus T_θ^d is defined up to addition of an antisymmetric matrix with integer entries. Therefore the limit $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ strictly speaking does not make sense. We may still however neglect the kinetic term in (46) in the limit of large volume (terms entering the kinetic part scale as $1/R_i^2$ where R_i are radii of the torus). In that limit we obtain approximate solutions to the equation of motion of the form (44) where P_i now are mutually orthogonal projectors, i.e., elements of $\text{Mat}_N(T_\theta^d)$ satisfying $P_i P_j = \delta_{ij} P_i$, $P_i^\dagger = P_i$. Any solution of the form $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i P_i$ will have a finite energy and there is no need for additional assumptions on the form of potential $V(x)$ that we had to impose in the $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$ case. In the two-dimensional case one can employ the Powers-Rieffel general construction of projector operators (for example see [4], [5]). However the value of the soliton energy can be calculated without knowing the detailed form of the projectors:

$$E = \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^p V(\phi_i) \text{Tr} P_i = \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^p V(\phi_i) \text{ch}_0(P_i)$$

where $\text{ch}_0(P_i)$ stand for the zero order Chern numbers (dimensions) of the projective modules specified by P_i . As we explained in the first part of the review the Chern numbers $ch_n(\mathcal{E})$ of an arbitrary projective module $\mathcal{E}$ depend only on the K-theory class of $\mathcal{E}$ and the noncommutativity matrix θ^{ij} . The K-theory class in its turn is specified by topological integers. The explicit formulas for $\dim \mathcal{E} = \text{Tr} P$ via these numbers are given for $d = 2, 3, 4$ -dimensional tori in section 6.7. For example in the case $d = 4$ we may write the energy of the soliton corresponding to a solution $\Phi = \phi_1 P$ as

$$E = \frac{V(\phi_1)}{g^2} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\theta m) + q \text{Pfaff}(\theta) \right).$$

Here n, m_{ij}, q are topological integers characterizing the K-theory class of $E = P\mathcal{A}^1$ corresponding in string theory language to the numbers of $D4$, $D2$ and $D0$ -branes wrapped on T_θ^4 .

To conclude this section let us remark that the approximate solitons of this section and the exact ones discussed in sections 3.1, 3.2 found an important application in describing D-branes as solitonic solutions of the tachyon field in the presence of large B -field [54], [55] (see also the annotated list of references in the last section of this paper).

4 Instantons

4.1 Instanton equation on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_\theta^N$ modules

In the part one of the review we discussed instantons on noncommutative tori and their interpretation as BPS fields; see Sec. 8.1, 8.2. Here we would like to consider instantons on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ space.

In the above discussion of solitons we used the algebra $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4)$. This is appropriate because the corresponding solutions rapidly decrease at infinity. Instantons do not have this property. Therefore we should replace $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ with something else. It turns out that the appropriate algebra is $\cup_{m < -1} \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4) \equiv \Gamma_\theta$. Notice that this algebra could be also used in the analysis of solitons.

The Fock module $\mathcal{F}$ can be considered also as a projective $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ -module. Thus every projective $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ -module is isomorphic to one of the modules $\mathcal{F}_{k,N} = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_\theta^N$. Introducing complex coordinates

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^1 + ix^3), \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^2 + ix^4) \quad (47)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_1 - i\nabla_3), & D_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_2 - i\nabla_4), \\ D_1^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_1 + i\nabla_3), & D_2^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_2 + i\nabla_4) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_i, D_i^\dagger$ stand for $\nabla_{z_i}, \nabla_{\bar{z}_i}$. In these coordinates the antiinstanton (self-duality) equation* $F_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}F^{kl}$ takes the form

$$[D_1, D_2] = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$[D_1, D_1^\dagger] + [D_2, D_2^\dagger] = 0. \quad (49)$$

We also assume that in our set of coordinates x^j the matrix θ^{ij} has the standard form (23)

$$\theta^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta_2 \\ -\theta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (50)$$

There are two distinct cases $\text{Pfaff}(\theta) = \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2 > 0$ and $\theta_1 \cdot \theta_2 < 0$. These two cases are connected by an orientation-reversing reflection. That reflection also interchanges instanton and antiinstanton solutions. Without loss of generality we will limit ourselves to the case of the antiinstanton equation and will allow both signs of the Pfaffian.

As explained in section 2.5 the connections D_1, D_2 have a general form

$$D_1 = D_1^0 + \begin{pmatrix} (B_1)_r^s & \langle I_r^\beta | \\ |K_\alpha^s\rangle & (\hat{R}_1)_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = D_2^0 + \begin{pmatrix} (B_2)_r^s & \langle L_r^\beta | \\ |J_\alpha^s\rangle & (\hat{R}_2)_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

where the indices r, s run from 1 to k and α, β run from 1 to N . Below for brevity we will suppress these indices. Standard fiducial connection D_i^0 is given by (26). To shorten the notations we write

$$D_i^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\partial}_i & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The curvature tensor $-i\theta_{jk}^{-1}$ of $\tilde{\partial}_i$ can be decomposed into selfdual and antiselfdual parts according to

$$\theta_{s.d.}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta^{-1} + \tilde{\theta}^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f^+ \\ -f^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta_{a.s.d.}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta^{-1} - \tilde{\theta}^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f^- \\ -f^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Note that our choice of complex structure (47) is different from the one employed in [10], [11], [14], [1], and although the equation looks formally the same it corresponds to an antiinstanton versus an instanton considered in those papers.

where

$$f^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2^{-1} - \theta_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_1^{-1} - \theta_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^- = \begin{pmatrix} -(\theta_1^{-1} + \theta_2^{-1}) & 0 \\ 0 & -(\theta_1^{-1} + \theta_2^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\tilde{\theta}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}\theta_{kl}^{-1}$.

Assuming $K = L = 0$, that can be considered as gauge conditions, the first instanton equation (48) gives rise to the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} [B_1, B_2] + \langle I|J \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle I|\hat{R}_2 - B_2\langle I| + \langle I|\partial_2 - \tilde{\partial}_2\langle I| &= 0, \\ \hat{R}_1|J\rangle - |J\rangle B_1 + \partial_1|J\rangle - |J\rangle\tilde{\partial}_1 &= 0, \\ [\hat{R}_1, \hat{R}_2] - |J\rangle\langle I| + [\partial_1, \hat{R}_2] + [\hat{R}_2, \partial_2] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The second (real) instanton equation (49) can be also represented in terms of four equations for each block. Here we write down only equation for the upper left block:

$$-(\theta_1^{-1} + \theta_2^{-1}) + [B_1, B_1^\dagger] + [B_2, B_2^\dagger] + \langle I|I \rangle - \langle J|J \rangle = 0. \tag{52}$$

It is easy to see that equations (51), (52) closely resemble the ADHM matrix equations. Moreover let us take an ansatz

$$\langle I_r^\beta| = (I^0)_r^\beta \langle \Phi|, \quad |J_\alpha^s\rangle = (J^0)_\alpha^s |\Psi\rangle$$

where $(I^0)_r^\beta, (J^0)_\alpha^s$ are $N \times k$ and $k \times N$ matrices with numerical entries and $\langle \Phi|, |\Psi\rangle$ are such that $\langle \Phi|\Psi\rangle = 1$. Then it follows from (51), (52) that the matrices B_i, I^0, J^0 satisfy the noncommutative ADHM equations

$$[B_1, B_2] + I^0 J^0 = 0, \tag{53}$$

$$[B_1, B_1^\dagger] + [B_2, B_2^\dagger] + I^0 (I^0)^\dagger - (J^0)^\dagger J^0 = \zeta_r \tag{54}$$

where $\zeta_r = \theta_1^{-1} + \theta_2^{-1}$.

It looks like a natural conjecture that once a solution to the ADHM equations (53), (54) is chosen one can find $\langle \Phi|, |\Psi\rangle, \hat{R}_1, \hat{R}_2$ such that the rest of the equations following from (48), (49) is satisfied.

Thus we see that following our approach based on classification of projective modules $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ we obtained the noncommutative ADHM equations in a seemingly effortless way. The relation between this approach and the standard one of [11], [14] can be established in a similar way to how it was done for solitons in section 3.2. See sections 4.4, 4.5 for a more detailed explanation of this relation and a more detailed treatment of the ADHM construction.

4.2 Hypoelliptic operators, $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ -modules and partial isometries

In this section we will formulate some mathematical results that are needed for a more complete and more rigorous treatment of gauge theory on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$.

In section 2.2 we introduced a class of functions $\Gamma_\rho^m \equiv \Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us introduce a subclass $\Sigma_\rho^m \subset \Gamma_\rho^m$ consisting of smooth functions $f(x)$ that satisfy

$$|f(x)| \leq C \|x\|^m, \quad |\partial_\alpha f(x)| \leq C_\alpha |f(x)| \|x\|^{m-\rho|\alpha|} \tag{55}$$

for all $\|x\| > R$ for some $R > 0$, i.e. outside of a sphere of sufficiently large radius. We say that a function $f(x)$ belongs to the class $\text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$ if $f \in \Sigma_\rho^m$ and there exists a function $g(x) \in \Sigma_\rho^{-m_0}$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 1$ for $\|x\| > r$ where r is some positive number. In other words $f(x)$ must have an inverse outside of a sphere of sufficiently large radius with respect to the usual pointwise multiplication and this inverse must be a function from $\Sigma_\rho^{-m_0}$. One can prove (see for example [8]) that given a function $f \in \text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$ there exists a function $g_\theta \in \text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{-m,-m_0}$ called parametrix that satisfies

$$1 - f * g_\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 1 - g_\theta * f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $*$ stands for the star product defined by θ . Essentially what we are saying here is that if a function $f(x)$ is invertible up to an element from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (invertible at large distances) with respect to the pointwise product it is also invertible up to an element from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the star product. Note that if there is another element $g'_\theta \in \text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{-m,-m_0}$ such that $1 - f * g'_\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $g_\theta - g'_\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $1 - g'_\theta * f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus parametrix is unique up to an addition of element from the Schwartz space.

The above definition of classes Γ_ρ^m , Σ_ρ^m and $\text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$ can be extended in a straightforward way to matrix-valued functions. The absolute value $|f(x)|$ in formulas (7), (55) in that case should be understood as a matrix norm. Matrix-valued functions belonging to the class Γ_ρ^m are same as matrices whose entries are functions from this class. (This is wrong however for the class $\text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$.) The pointwise and Moyal multiplications should now be combined with matrix multiplication.

If θ is nondegenerate we can consider elements from $\text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$ as pseudodifferential operators. We will denote this set of operators by $\text{H}\Gamma_{\rho,\theta}^{m,m_0}$ reserving the notation $\text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$ for their symbols. Such operators are called hypoelliptic (elliptic in the case $m = m_0$). These operators act on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ and can be considered there as Fredholm operators. That is kernels of such an operator A and of its adjoint $A^\dagger$ are finite-dimensional and the difference $\text{ind}(A) = \dim(\text{Ker} A) - \dim(\text{Ker} A^\dagger)$ is called an index of operator A . This index can be calculated in the following way. Assume A is a hypoelliptic operator corresponding to an $n \times n$ matrix valued function $a(x) \in \text{H}\Gamma_\rho^{m,m_0}$. Since the matrix $a(x)$ is invertible for large $|x|$ we have a mapping from large sphere S^{d-1} into $GL(n)$. More precisely $a(x)$ specifies a homotopy class of mappings $S^{d-1} \rightarrow GL(n)$. It is known that for $n \geq d/2$ the homotopy group $\pi_{d-1}(GL(n))$ is isomorphic to integers $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular for $d = 4$ the homotopy class of a map from S^3 to $GL(n)$ is characterized by an integer

$$k \in \pi_3(GL(n)) \cong \pi_3(U(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The integer k coincides (up to a sign) with the index of operator A . The relative sign factor is given by the sign of the Pfaffian: $\text{sign}(\text{Pfaff}(\theta))$. It comes from the operator - function correspondence set by formula (5). The easiest way to see this effect is by noticing that $\theta_i \mapsto -\theta_i$ exchanges the creation and annihilation operators (c.f. formula (25)). A general formula for k valid for any even d looks as follows (see for example [9])

$$k = \text{ind}(A) = -\text{sign}(\text{Pfaff}(\theta)) \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} \frac{(d/2 - 1)!}{(d - 1)!} \int_{S^{d-1}} \text{tr}(a^{-1} da)^{d-1} \quad (56)$$

We readily see from this formula that while the sign of the integral in (56) depends on the choice of orientation in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the index of operator A does not.

Example 1. Consider a function $f = z$ - a complex coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^2$ introduced in section 2.5. Evidently it belongs to the space $\text{H}\Gamma_1^{1,1}$. The corresponding operator is $\sqrt{\theta}a$ where a is the annihilation operator. Its index is equal to one.

Example 2. Consider a matrix valued function

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & -\bar{z}_1 \\ -z_1 & -\bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where z_α are complex coordinates (24) on $\mathbb{R}^4$. It is clear that the symbol D belongs to $\text{H}\Gamma_1^{1,1}$. Note that if one adds a constant matrix to D the resulting function stays in $\text{H}\Gamma_1^{1,1}$ (lower order terms in z do not spoil ellipticity). To obtain the corresponding elliptic operator we replace $z_\alpha, \bar{z}_\alpha$ by operators proportional to creation or annihilation operators according to (25). Taking for example $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$ we get an operator

$$\hat{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\theta_2}a_2 & -\sqrt{\theta_2}a_1^\dagger \\ -\sqrt{\theta_2}a_1 & -\sqrt{\theta_2}a_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that this operator has a one-dimensional kernel spanned by the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the Fock space vacuum. The adjoint operator $\hat{D}^\dagger$ does not have zero modes. Thus $\text{ind}(\hat{D}) = 1$.

A more general construction of an element of $\text{H}\Gamma_1^{1,1}$ that works in any even dimension is

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i x^i \tag{57}$$

where γ_i are Dirac gamma-matrices. The inclusion $D \in \text{H}\Gamma_1^{1,1}$ immediately follows from the relation $D^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. One can also show that $\text{ind}(\hat{D}) = 1$.

Let us assume that a pseudodifferential operator $A \in \text{H}\Gamma_{\rho,\theta}^{m,m_0}$ has no zero modes, i.e., $\text{Ker}A = 0$. Then we can construct an operator $T = A(A^\dagger A)^{-1/2}$ obeying $T^\dagger T = 1$. It is easy to check that both T and $T^\dagger$ belong to $\text{H}\Gamma_{\rho,\theta}^{0,0}$. Since the parametrix of any operator is uniquely defined up to an operator with Schwartz class matrix elements the operator $T^\dagger$ is a parametrix of T and $1 - TT^\dagger$ has matrix elements from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (i.e. belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$). We can apply this construction to the operator $\hat{D}$ considered above. In that case we have $T = \hat{D}(\hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D})^{-1/2} \in \text{H}\Gamma_{1,\theta}^{0,0}$. This operator has index 1.

We can use such an operator T to give a rigorous proof of the isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ -modules $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \mathcal{A}^N$ and $\mathcal{A}^N$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is any of the algebras introduced in section 2.2. The construction of the isomorphism goes as follows. Take any operator T satisfying $T^\dagger T = 1$ and having index $\text{ind}(T) = k$. For instance we can take $T = (\hat{D}(\hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D})^{-1/2})^k$ constructed with a help of the matrix valued function D given by (57). Since $T^\dagger T = 1$ and the index of T equals k we have $\dim(T^\dagger) = k$. Consider a module $E = \text{Ker}T^\dagger \oplus \mathcal{A}^N$ and a mapping $M : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^N$ acting as $(\xi, x) \mapsto \xi + Tx$. The inverse map transforms $y \in \mathcal{A}^N$ into $(\Pi y, T^\dagger y)$. It remains to prove that $\text{Ker}T^\dagger$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^k$. Note that the map $\Pi = 1 - TT^\dagger$ is a projector of $\mathcal{A}^N$ onto $\text{Ker}T^\dagger$. By construction Π belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. From this point on the proof of the isomorphism

$\text{Ker}T^\dagger \cong \mathcal{F}^k$ is essentially a generalization of our argument from section 2.3 showing that $\mathcal{F}$ is projective. Namely one can always choose a basis in our Hilbert space in such a way that the matrix elements of Π are of the form $\langle x|\Pi|x'\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\alpha}_i(x)\alpha_i(x')$ where the functions $\alpha_i(x)$ belong to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$ and are orthogonal to each other. An arbitrary element of $\text{Ker}T^\dagger$ can be written as $\Pi \cdot \hat{a}$ where $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{A}^N$. It has matrix elements $\langle x|\Pi\hat{a}|x'\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\alpha}_i(x)\rho_i(x')$ where $\rho_i(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d/2})$. The mapping $\Pi \cdot \hat{a} \mapsto (\rho_i(x)) \in \mathcal{F}^k$ establishes the desired isomorphism.

It is easy to show that by means of the above isomorphism the trivial connection ∂_i on $\mathcal{A}^N$ induces a connection $\Pi\partial_i\Pi$ on $\text{Ker}T^\dagger$. Let us calculate a connection D_α on $\mathcal{A}^N$ that corresponds to the connection

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi\partial_i\Pi & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_i \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\text{Ker}T^\dagger \oplus \mathcal{A}^N$. We have a sequence of maps

$$\mathcal{A}^N \ni y \mapsto (\Pi y, T^\dagger y) \mapsto (\Pi\partial_i\Pi y, \partial_i T^\dagger y) \mapsto \Pi\partial_i\Pi y + T\partial_i T^\dagger y.$$

Therefore $D_i = \Pi\partial_i\Pi + T\partial_i T^\dagger$.

More generally we can consider a connection

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi\partial_i\Pi & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_i & \langle I_i | \\ |J_i\rangle & \hat{R}_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (58)$$

on $\text{Ker}T^\dagger \oplus \mathcal{A}^N$. Under the isomorphism M it goes into a connection $D_i + A_i$ where

$$A_i = \Pi B_i \Pi + \Pi |J_i\rangle \langle I_i| T^\dagger + T |J_i\rangle \langle J_i| \Pi + T \hat{R}_i T^\dagger \quad (59)$$

or, less invariantly but more explicitly, assuming $\Pi = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|$ we can write

$$A_i = |\alpha\rangle B_i^{\alpha\beta} \langle \beta| + |\alpha\rangle \langle I_i| T^\dagger + T |J_{\alpha i}\rangle \langle \alpha| + T \hat{R}_i T^\dagger.$$

Identifying $\text{Ker}T^\dagger$ with $\mathcal{F}^k$ we obtain a correspondence between connections on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \mathcal{A}^N$ and connections on $\mathcal{A}^N$. We can rewrite the above connection $D_i + A_i$ in the form $T\partial_i T^\dagger + \Pi\partial_i\Pi + \nu_i$.

Furthermore if we assume that both operators T and $T^\dagger$ belong to $\text{H}\Gamma_{1,\theta}^{0,0}$ then one can argue that ν_i has the same behavior at infinity as the block $\hat{R}_i$. This follows from formula (59) once we notice that the terms containing Π belong to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$ and multiplying by T or $T^\dagger$ does not change the asymptotic behavior. In particular (under the above assumptions on T and $T^\dagger$) $\nu_i \in \Gamma^m(R_\theta^d)$ if and only if $\hat{R}_i$ belongs to the same algebra.

We shall call a connection (gauge field) (58) on a Γ_θ -module $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ whose lower right block $\hat{R}_i$ belongs to Γ_θ a $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ -connection (gauge field). As the name reveals these connections are precisely those connections on a given Γ_θ -module that can be extended to the corresponding $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ -module. (As we already discussed once we add the unit element the endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}^N$ correspond to matrices with entries from unitized algebra.)

4.3 Fields gauge trivial at infinity.

In this section we will analyze instantons on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ considering them as gauge fields on Γ_θ^N . As usual we define an instanton as a gauge field satisfying (48), (49). However we should also impose additional constraints on our gauge fields that will guaranty that the

corresponding Euclidean Yang-Mills action is finite. We will require that (anti)instantons are gauge trivial at infinity in the following sense.

We first give a definition of a connection gauge trivial at infinity that works for arbitrary θ , in particular for a degenerate one. Consider an element $T \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathcal{A})$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is one of the algebras $\mathbb{R}_\theta^d$, Γ_θ , $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. Assume also that $T \in \text{HF}_1^{m, m_0}$. The parametrix S of T is represented by a $N \times N$ matrix belonging to $\text{HF}_1^{-m, -m_0}$ and we have elements $\Pi = 1 - T * S$, $\Pi' = 1 - S * T$ belonging to $\text{Mat}_N(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d))$. Then we say that a connection $\nabla_i : \mathcal{A}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^N$ is gauge trivial at infinity if it can be written in a form

$$\nabla_i = T \cdot \partial_i \cdot S + \Pi \cdot \partial_i + \nu_i \quad (60)$$

for some operators T and S satisfying the assumptions above and some endomorphism $\nu_i \in \Gamma_\theta$. In this formula T and S stand for operators acting on $\mathcal{A}^N$ by a star-multiplication from the right (combined with the usual matrix multiplication). The term $\Pi \cdot \partial_i$ is needed here to ensure that operator ∇_i is indeed a connection. This becomes manifest when we rewrite the above expression as $\nabla_i = \partial_i + T[\partial_i, S] + \nu_i$ that has the form $\partial_i + \text{endomorphism}$. Note also that in the commutative case $\theta = 0$ the above definition coincides with the standard definition of gauge triviality at infinity.

A gauge field, as we defined it, is a unitary (antihermitian) connection. Assume further that the matrix θ is nondegenerate. This allows us to consider T and S as pseudo-differential operators. To ensure unitarity we set in the above definition $S = T^\dagger$. Then automatically $T, T^\dagger \in \text{HF}_{1, \theta}^{0, 0}$. Furthermore in the above definition we have a freedom of shifting operators T and S by operators from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d)$. We can use that freedom to set either Π' or Π , depending on $\text{ind}(T)$, to zero. If we can set $\Pi = 0$ then Π' is a projector and vice versa. *We say that ∇_i is a gauge field gauge trivial at infinity if it can be represented in the form*

$$\nabla_i = T \cdot \partial_i \cdot T^\dagger + \Pi \cdot \partial_i \cdot \Pi + \nu_i \quad (61)$$

where ν_i is an antihermitian endomorphism from Γ_θ and $T, T^\dagger \in \text{HF}_{1, \theta}^{0, 0}$. The space of all such connections has connected components labeled by the index I of operator T . We fix one operator $T = T_I$ for every value of the index I and denote by $\mathcal{G}_I$ the set of gauge fields having the form (61) with the operator $T = T_I$. Specializing further to the case of (anti)instantons we will assume from now on that $d = 4$, $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma_\theta$.

As we explained in the previous section if operator T has a negative index we may use a pair $T, T^\dagger$ to establish an isomorphism of a general module $\mathcal{F}_{k, N} = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus \Gamma_\theta^N$ with Γ_θ^N . The results of the previous section imply that $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ gauge fields on a Γ_θ -module $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \Gamma_\theta^N$ are in one to one correspondence with a class of gauge fields $\mathcal{G}_k$ gauge trivial at infinity on a module Γ_θ^N . Moreover it is easy to check that the condition of gauge triviality at infinity implies the finiteness of the Euclidean action (it follows essentially from inclusions $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4) \cdot \Gamma^n(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4) \subset \Gamma^{m+n}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4)$). Notice that a connection on $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \Gamma_\theta^N$ can be extended to a connection on a $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ -module $\mathcal{F}^k \oplus \tilde{\Gamma}_\theta^N$. The former fact is implied from the inclusion $\nu_i \in \Gamma_\theta$. This remark provides a bridge between the considerations of the present section and those of section 4.1.

4.4 Noncommutative ADHM construction

Now we are fully armed to discuss the noncommutative ADHM construction in detail. In part I of this review we explained how one can construct a connection on a module E specified

by a projector P acting in a free module $\mathcal{A}^N$ from any connection ∇_i^0 on $\mathcal{A}^N$. Namely the operator $\nabla = P \cdot \nabla_i^0 \cdot P$ descends to a connection on E . In particular one may take ∇_i^0 to be just the trivial connection ∂_i . This gives rise to the so called Levi-Civita connection. The ADHM construction of (anti)self-dual connections starts with solutions to some matrix equations that are further used to construct such a projector P that the corresponding Levi-Civita connection $P \cdot \partial_i \cdot P$ is (anti)self-dual. The precise construction goes as follows.

For the discussion in this subsection we will assume unless the nondegeneracy is explicitly stated that θ is arbitrary. Let V and W be a pair of complex vector spaces of dimensions k and N respectively. Consider a Γ_θ -linear operator

$$D^\dagger : (V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$$

defined by the formula

$$D^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} -B_2 + \hat{z}_2 & B_1 - \hat{z}_1 & I \\ B_1^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_1 & B_2^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_2 & J^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (62)$$

where $B_1, B_2 : V \rightarrow V$, $I : W \rightarrow V$, $J : V \rightarrow W$ are linear mappings, $\hat{z}_\alpha, \hat{\bar{z}}_\alpha$ are operators acting by star multiplication by the corresponding complex coordinates (47). The requirement that $D^\dagger$ satisfies

$$D^\dagger D = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where Δ is some operator $\Delta : V \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow V \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ is equivalent to ADHM equations (53), (54) with $\zeta_r = -(\theta_1 + \theta_2)$. More precisely the vanishing of the off-diagonal blocks in $D^\dagger D$ is equivalent to the first ADHM equation (53) and the equality of the diagonal blocks is equivalent to the second one (54). The difference between the present ζ_r and the one that appeared in section 4.1 can be absorbed into redefinition of matrices B_1, B_2, I, J . It is easy to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (B_1 - \hat{z}_1)(B_1^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_1) + (B_2 - \hat{z}_2)(B_2^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_2) + II^\dagger = \\ &= (B_1^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_1)(B_1 - \hat{z}_1) + (B_2^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_2)(B_2 - \hat{z}_2) + J^\dagger J. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Let us now show that provided θ^{ij} is nondegenerate the operator Δ does not have any zero modes. Recall that our algebra Γ_θ has a natural representation in terms of integral operators in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, i.e. the Fock module $\mathcal{F}$. If there exists an operator $\hat{\Phi} \in V \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ satisfying $\Delta \cdot \hat{\Phi} = 0$ then any vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ of the form $|\phi\rangle = \hat{\Phi}|\phi\rangle$ is annihilated by Δ . Using the first representation in (63) we obtain an equation

$$\langle \phi | \Delta | \phi \rangle = \|(B_1^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_1)\phi\|^2 + \|(B_2^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_2)\phi\|^2 + \|I^\dagger \phi\|^2 = 0$$

that implies

$$(B_1^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_1)|\phi\rangle = 0, \quad (B_2^\dagger - \hat{\bar{z}}_2)|\phi\rangle = 0, \quad I^\dagger|\phi\rangle = 0.$$

Analogously from the second representation of Δ in (63) we obtain

$$(B_1 - \hat{z}_1)|\phi\rangle = 0, \quad (B_2 - \hat{z}_2)|\phi\rangle = 0, \quad J|\phi\rangle = 0.$$

The set of operators $\hat{z}_\alpha, \hat{\bar{z}}_\alpha$ can be identified up to numerical factors $|\theta_\alpha|^{-1/2}$ with the set of creation and annihilation operators $a_\alpha^\dagger, a_\alpha$. The particular identifications depend on the signs of eigenvalues θ_α according to (25). The statement $\phi = 0$ then follows from the fact that the equations

$$(B + a_1^\dagger)|\phi\rangle = 0, \quad (B' + a_2^\dagger)|\phi\rangle = 0$$

have only trivial solution.

Thus when θ is nondegenerate the operator Δ is invertible. The condition of nondegeneracy can be weakened. The proof above can be easily modified for the assumption that θ^{ij} is merely nonzero (see [14] for details). In the commutative $\theta^{ij} = 0$ case the nondegeneracy of Δ is a separate assumption. Assuming the nondegeneracy of Δ we can split the module $(V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ into a direct sum of two subspaces $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}'$ where $\mathcal{E} = \text{Ker}(D^\dagger)$ and $\mathcal{E}' = D(V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta \equiv \text{Im}(D)$. These subspaces are in fact submodules because D and $D^\dagger$ are Γ_θ -linear operators. Each of these submodules can be identified with images of orthogonal projectors $\mathcal{E} = \Pi(V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$, $\mathcal{E}' = (1 - \Pi)(V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ where

$$\Pi = 1 - D \cdot (D^\dagger D)^{-1} \cdot D^\dagger. \quad (64)$$

The inverse of operator $D^\dagger D$ exists under the assumption that the operator Δ is invertible (that as we proved above is always true for nondegenerate θ^{ij} and should be taken as an additional requirement otherwise).

In summary starting with a solution to the noncommutative ADHM equations we constructed an orthogonal projector Π . The claim then is that the Levi-Civita connection $\Pi \partial_i \Pi$ induced on the module $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies the self-duality equation. Let us give an explicit proof of it. The curvature of connection $\Pi \partial_i \Pi$ is a two-form that restricted on $\mathcal{E}$ can be written as

$$F = d\Pi \wedge d\Pi = d(D(D^\dagger D)^{-1} D^\dagger) \wedge d(D(D^\dagger D)^{-1} D^\dagger). \quad (65)$$

Using

$$d(D^\dagger D)^{-1} = -(D^\dagger D)^{-1} d(D^\dagger D) (D^\dagger D)^{-1}$$

we obtain

$$d(D(D^\dagger D)^{-1} D^\dagger) = \Pi d(D) (D^\dagger D)^{-1} D^\dagger + D (D^\dagger D)^{-1} d(D^\dagger) \Pi.$$

Substituting this expression into (65) we get

$$F = \Pi d(D) (D^\dagger D)^{-1} \wedge d(D^\dagger) \Pi + D (D^\dagger D)^{-1} d(D^\dagger) \Pi \wedge d(D) (D^\dagger D)^{-1} D^\dagger.$$

The second term in this expression vanishes when restricted to $\mathcal{E}$. We can now plug the concrete expressions for operators D and $D^\dagger$ corresponding to (62) into the second term. Using the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\bar{z}_2 & -dz_1 \\ -d\bar{z}_1 & -dz_2 \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} dz_2 & -dz_1 \\ -d\bar{z}_1 & -d\bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} if_1 & f_2 + if_3 \\ f_2 - if_3 & -if_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$f_1 = dx^3 \wedge dx^1 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4, \quad f_2 = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4, \quad f_3 = dx^4 \wedge dx^1 + dx^3 \wedge dx^2$$

are basic self-dual forms, we obtain for the curvature

$$F = 2\Pi \begin{pmatrix} i\Delta^{-1}f_1 & \Delta^{-1}(f_2 + if_3) & 0 \\ \Delta^{-1}(f_2 - if_3) & -i\Delta^{-1}f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Pi$$

that is evidently self-dual.

The above construction can be represented in a slightly different form. Instead of singling out the submodule $\mathcal{E}$ by the condition $\mathcal{E} = \text{Ker} D^\dagger$ we can take as a starting point an isometric Γ_θ -linear map

$$\omega : \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow (V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta \quad (66)$$

that maps some Γ_θ -module $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ onto $\mathcal{E}$. For the mapping ω to be an isometry one has to have $\omega^\dagger \omega = 1$. This mapping induces a self-dual connection on $\mathcal{E}$ that can be written as $\omega^\dagger \cdot \partial_\alpha \cdot \omega$.

4.5 ADHM instantons as gauge fields trivial at infinity and $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ -modules.

In section 4.3 we introduced an important notion of gauge fields trivial at infinity. Such fields have a finite Euclidean action. Here we would like to show that the instanton solutions constructed via the noncommutative ADHM construction are gauge trivial at infinity.

To this end we first construct a module $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ that is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Ker} D^\dagger$ by means of some embedding ω (66). Throughout the discussion in this subsection we assume θ^{ij} to be nondegenerate. An element $\psi \in \text{Ker} D^\dagger$ can be represented by a column vector

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\phi_i \in V \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ and $\zeta \in W \otimes \Gamma_\theta$. The equation $D^\dagger \psi = 0$ then reads

$$A\phi + C\zeta = 0 \quad (67)$$

where

$$A\phi = \begin{pmatrix} -B_2 + \hat{z}_2 & B_1 - \hat{z}_1 \\ B_1^\dagger - \hat{z}_1^\dagger & B_2^\dagger - \hat{z}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} I\zeta \\ J^\dagger \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above operator $A : (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ is defined as an operator of left star multiplication by the corresponding matrix-valued function. This function belongs to the class $\text{H}\Gamma_1^{1,1}$. The corresponding elliptic pseudo-differential operator (not to be confused with the operator A itself) can be expressed via creation and annihilation operators according to (25). It is not hard to see from those expressions that the index of A equals $\dim V$ when $\text{Pfaff}(\theta) = \theta_1 \theta_2 > 0$ and $\text{Ind}(A) = -\dim V$ when $\theta_1 \theta_2 < 0$. We will consider these two cases separately beginning with the $\theta_1 \theta_2 > 0$ case. In this case the operator A has a parametrix Q that without loss of generality can be assumed to satisfy $AQ = 1$ and $QA = 1 - P$ where $P \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4)$. By multiplying equation (67) by Q from the left we obtain that $\phi = \phi_0 - QC\zeta$ where $\phi_0 = P\phi$ belongs to the kernel $\text{Ker} A \cong \mathcal{F}^k$. We take now $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (W \otimes \Gamma_\theta)$ where we identify $\mathcal{F}^k$ with a submodule $\text{Ker} A \subset (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$. Consider a mapping $\nu : \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow (V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ acting as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \rho - QC\xi \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \quad (68)$$

where $\rho \in \text{Ker} A \subset (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$, $\xi \in W \otimes \Gamma_\theta$. This mapping is evidently Γ_θ -linear and has trivial kernel. To check that the image of this map belongs to $\mathcal{E}$ we first note that as it follows from $AQ = 1$ a point in the image of ν : $\phi = \rho - QC\xi$, $\zeta = \xi$ satisfies equation

(67). Next, as we have $Q \in \text{HF}_{1,\theta}^{-1,-1}$ and $C \in \text{HF}_{1,\theta}^{0,0}$, the element $(\rho - QC\xi, \xi)$ belongs to $(V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$. It is also easy to check that the inverse mapping is given by the formula

$$\nu^{-1} : \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u + QC\xi \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}$$

and it maps $\mathcal{E}$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. It remains to modify the isomorphism ν to make it an isometry. This can be done by taking $\omega = \nu(\nu^\dagger \nu)^{-1/2}$. It is not hard to derive from the explicit form (68) that ω and ν have the same asymptotic behavior at infinity. We imply from this that the $W \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow W \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ block of the connection $\omega^\dagger \partial_\alpha \omega : \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ has the form $\partial_\alpha + a[\partial_\alpha, b]$ where a and b are some operators having the form $a = 1 + a'$, $b = 1 + b'$ where $a', b' \in \Gamma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4)$. Therefore $\omega^\dagger \partial_\alpha \omega$ is a $\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta$ -connection and using the correspondence explained in section 4.2 we conclude that (for $\theta_1 \theta_2 > 0$) the noncommutative ADHM (anti)instanton solution is indeed gauge trivial at infinity.

For simplicity we used above the assumption that the parametrix Q satisfies $AQ = 1$ and $QA = 1 - P$. The general situation when one has $AQ = 1 - P'$, $QA = 1 - P$, $P, P' \in \mathcal{S}(R_\theta^4)$ can be reduced to this particular case by a change of variables: $\phi' = \phi$, $\zeta' = \zeta + M\phi$ where M can be chosen in such a way that the above arguments will go through when applied to the equation $(A - CM)\phi' + C\zeta = 0$.

Let us consider now the case $\theta_1 \theta_2 < 0$. In this case the matrix-valued function representing the operator A above gives rise to an elliptic operator of index $-\dim V$. Then one can assume that there exists a parametrix $Q : (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ satisfying $QA = 1$, $AQ = 1 - P'$ where $P' \in \mathcal{S}(R_\theta^4)$ is a projector. Multiplying equation (67) describing the kernel of $D^\dagger$ (67) by operator Q from the left we obtain $\phi = -QC\zeta$. Substituting this back into (67) we get $P'C\zeta = 0$. This means that we can identify the space of solutions to $D^\dagger \psi = 0$ with the kernel of operator $P'C : W \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow (V \oplus V) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$. Since P' considered as a pseudo-differential operator in Hilbert space has a finite-dimensional image, i.e., is an operator of finite defect, the operator solutions to $P'C\zeta = 0$ form a space isomorphic to a module $W \otimes \Gamma_\theta$. Assume that there is an isometric embedding

$$T : W \otimes \Gamma_\theta \rightarrow W \otimes \Gamma_\theta, \quad T \in \text{HF}_{1,\theta}^{0,0}$$

such that the image of T coincides with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \text{Ker } P'C$. Let us further define a mapping $\lambda : \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow (V \oplus V \oplus W) \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ as

$$\lambda(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} -QC\zeta \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

It is easy to check that this map is an isomorphism and its image coincides with $\mathcal{E}$. As before one can make this isomorphism an isometry by modifying it as $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda(\lambda^\dagger \lambda)^{-1/2}$. Thus ultimately we have a sequence of two isometric isomorphisms

$$\Gamma_\theta^N \xrightarrow{T} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} \mathcal{E}.$$

The pull-back of the ADHM antiinstanton on the module Γ_θ^N is a connection

$$\nabla_\alpha = (T^\dagger \tilde{\lambda}^\dagger) \cdot \partial_\alpha \cdot (\tilde{\lambda} T) : \Gamma_\theta^N \rightarrow \Gamma_\theta^N. \quad (70)$$

The mapping $\tilde{\lambda}$ can be explicitly represented in a block form as

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} -QC(1 + (QC)^\dagger QC)^{-1/2} \\ (1 + (QC)^\dagger QC)^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the symbols of pseudodifferential operators corresponding to QC and $(QC)^\dagger$ belong to the class $\text{HF}_1^{-1,-1}$ the symbol of λ_1 belongs to the same class and the one of λ_2 has the form $1 + \lambda'_2$ where $\lambda'_2 \in \text{HF}_1^{-1,-1}$. Substituting this expression into (70) we obtain

$$\nabla_\alpha = T^\dagger \partial_\alpha T + \lambda_1^*[\partial_\alpha, \lambda_1] + \lambda_2^*[\partial_\alpha, \lambda_2]$$

From the asymptotic properties of symbols of λ_1 and λ_2 noted above it follows that ∇_α has the form $T^\dagger \partial_\alpha T + \nu_i$ where $\nu_i \in \Gamma^{-2}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^4) \subset \Gamma_\theta$. Therefore we see that in this form ∇_α has a manifestly gauge trivial at infinity form. Note that the assumption on the parametrix Q can be disposed of similarly to the $\theta_1\theta_2 > 0$ case.

Let us comment on the difference in treatments of the $\theta_1\theta_2 > 0$ and $\theta_1\theta_2 < 0$ cases. In the first case $\text{Ind}(A) = \dim V = k$ and with our simplifying assumption on the parametrix the pseudodifferential operator corresponding to A has a kernel of dimension $\dim V$. Thus A itself has a kernel isomorphic to a Fock module $\mathcal{F}^k$ and we took for our module $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{F}^k \oplus (W \otimes \Gamma_\theta)$. In the second case $\text{Ind}(A) = -\dim V = -k$ and under our assumptions A has a cokernel isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^k$. Moreover the construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ that we employed describes $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ by means of an operator T such that the pseudodifferential operator corresponding to T has a finite defect. For a generic C the dimension of the defect subspace is precisely k and $\text{Coker} T$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^k$. Intuitively this means that in this case $\mathcal{E}$ is given by means of "subtraction" of $\mathcal{F}^k$ from the module $W \otimes \Gamma_\theta$ in contrast to adding the same kind of Fock module in the case $\theta_1\theta_2 > 0$. Another way to describe this situation is based on the consideration of $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -graded modules (supermodules and superconnections). We interpret "subtraction" of a module as addition of this module with reversed parity. In this approach one can work with modules over unitized algebra. The phenomenon of "subtraction" of a Fock module is also discussed in [12], [13] from a different point of view.

One can prove that all self-dual and antiself-dual gauge fields that are gauge trivial at infinity can be obtained by means of ADHM construction [23]. As in the commutative situation the proof is based on the consideration of Dirac operator in the presence of instanton gauge field. The ADHM data can be extracted from the study of asymptotic behavior of zero modes of this operator.

5 Literature

The subject of noncommutative solitons and instantons is currently an area of active research and a number of new papers grows very fast. In this review we covered only basic facts about these objects trying to provide a reader with a solid mathematical foundation. We refer the reader to review papers [1], [2], [3] for exposition of other topics in noncommutative field theories not covered in the present review. Below we would like to give some rough guide to the existing literature that is very subjective and is concentrated on subjects that were not covered in this review rather than on complete historical references.

Most of the mathematical results used in section 2 can be found in books [8], [6]. The first examples of exact soliton solutions in Yang-Mills-Higgs type systems discussed in sections 3.1, 3.2 were considered in [26], [29]. These examples were generalized to a broader set of models in [36], [37], [38]. Our exposition differs from the approach based on partial isometries that is taken in most of the papers on the subject and follows the ideas of [21] that were put forward for noncommutative instantons. The stability of the exact solutions

in $d = 2 + 1$ dimension is analyzed in [36]. Exact solutions in systems with scalar fields “in the fundamental representation” were studied in [39], [40].

The scalar solitons in noncommutative field theories in the limit $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ were first studied in paper [24]. Our exposition of this subject in section 3.3 is mostly inspired by papers [24], [36], [44], [48]. The scalar solitons at finite θ were further studied in [31], [33], [42] [49]. The existence of exact scalar soliton solutions at finite θ was recently proved in [53]. The questions of stability were addressed in [24], [47], [49] [53].

Noncommutative instantons and deformation of the ADHM construction were introduced in paper [11]. The construction was further refined in [13], [14], [16], [17], [19], [20]. Our exposition in section 4 essentially follows paper [21]. See also [1] for a complementary review as well as papers [15], [18], [22] for related work in other directions.

The monopole solutions on noncommutative plane are studied in [25], [29], [38]. A number of other types of solitonic solutions in various noncommutative field theories were considered in papers [26], [27], [28], [30], [34], [41], [46], [51], [52].

Both the exact solitonic and the approximate ones at $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ found an application in the description of D-branes as solitons of the tachyon field in the limit of large B -field [54], [55]. This subject was further developed in papers [56], [57], [58], [59], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63], [64].

Solitons on noncommutative tori and their application to the description of tachyon condensation were studied in [66], [68], [69]. Solitonic solutions on other noncommutative spaces such as noncommutative orbifolds and fuzzy spheres are considered in [65], [67], [70].

References

- [1] N. Nekrasov, *Trieste lectures on solitons in noncommutative gauge theories*, hep-th/0011095.
- [2] J. A. Harvey, *Komaba Lectures on Noncommutative Solitons and D-Branes*, hep-th/0102076.
- [3] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, *Noncommutative Field Theory*, hep-th/0106048.
- [4] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press 1994.
- [5] J. C. Varilly, H. Figueroa and J. M. Gracia-Bondia, *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhauser 2000.
- [6] M. Rieffel, *Deformation quantization for actions of $\mathbb{R}^d$* , Memoirs of AMS, **106** (506) (1993), pp. 1-93.
- [7] A. Connes, private communication (see also appendix to paper [21]).
- [8] M. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Berlin, Springer (1987).
- [9] L. Hörmander, *The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. on Pure and Applied Math., Vol. XXXII, 359-443 (1979).
- [10] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1990.

- [11] N. Nekrasov and A. Schwarz, *Instantons on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ and $(2,0)$ superconformal six dimensional theory*, Commun.Math.Phys. 198 (1998) 689-703 [hep-th/9802068].
- [12] H. W. Braden and N. Nekrasov, *Space-Time Foam From Non-Commutative Instantons*, hep-th/9912019.
- [13] K. Furuuchi, *Instantons on Noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ and Projection Operators*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103** (2000) 1043-1068; hep-th/9912047.
- [14] N. Nekrasov, *Noncommutative instantons revisited*, hep-th/0010017.
- [15] A. Kapustin, A. Kuznetsov and D. Orlov, *Noncommutative Instantons and Twistor Transform*, hep-th/0002193.
- [16] P.-M. Ho, *Twisted Bundle on Noncommutative Space and $U(1)$ Instanton*, hep-th/0003012.
- [17] K. Furuuchi, *Equivalence of Projections as Gauge Equivalence on Noncommutative Space*, hep-th/0005199.
- [18] K. Lee, D. Tong and S. Yi, *The moduli space of two $U(1)$ instantons on noncommutative R^4 and $R^3 \times S^1$* , Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 065017; hep-th/0008092.
- [19] K. Furuuchi, *Topological Charge of $U(1)$ Instantons*, hep-th/0010006.
- [20] K. Furuuchi, *Dp - $D(p+4)$ in Noncommutative Yang-Mills*, JHEP **0103** (2001) 033; hep-th/0010119.
- [21] A. Schwarz, *Noncommutative instantons: a new approach*, hep-th/0102182.
- [22] M. Rangamani, *Reverse Engineering ADHM Construction from Non-Commutative Instantons*, hep-th/0104095.
- [23] N. Nekrasov, in preparation.
- [24] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla, A. Strominger, *Noncommutative Solitons*, JHEP **0005** (2000) 020; hep-th/0003160.
- [25] D. J. Gross and N. Nekrasov, *Monopoles and Strings in Noncommutative Gauge Theory*, JHEP **0007** (2000) 034; hep-th/0005204.
- [26] A. P. Polychronakos, *Flux tube solutions in noncommutative gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. **B495** (2000) 407-412; hep-th/0007043.
- [27] D. P. Jatkar, G. Mandal and S. R. Wadia, *Nielsen-Olesen Vortices in Noncommutative Abelian Higgs Model*, JHEP **0009** (2000) 018; hep-th/0007078.
- [28] B.-H. Lee, K. Lee and H. S. Yang, *The $CP(n)$ Model on Noncommutative Plane*, Phys. Lett. **B498** (2001) 277-284; hep-th/0007140.
- [29] D. J. Gross and N. Nekrasov, *Dynamics of Strings in Noncommutative Gauge Theory*, JHEP **0010** (2000) 021 [hep-th/0007204].
- [30] A.S.Gorsky, Y.M.Makeenko and K.G.Selivanov, *On noncommutative vacua and non-commutative solitons*, Phys. Lett. **B492** (2000) 344-348; hep-th/0007247.

- [31] C.-G. Zhou, *Noncommutative Scalar Solitons at Finite θ* , hep-th/0007255.
- [32] U. Lindstrom, M. Rocek and R. von Unge, *Non-commutative Soliton Scattering*, JHEP **0012** (2000) 004; hep-th/0008108.
- [33] A. Solovoyov, *On Noncommutative Solitons*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A15** (2000) 2205-2218; hep-th/0008199.
- [34] D. Bak, *Exact Solutions of Multi-Vortices and False Vacuum Bubbles in Noncommutative Abelian-Higgs Theories*, Phys. Lett. **B495** (2000) 251-255; hep-th/0008204.
- [35] Y. Matsuo, *Topological Charges of Noncommutative Soliton*, Phys. Lett. **B499** (2001) 223-228; hep-th/0009002.
- [36] M. Aganagic, R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla, A. Strominger, *Unstable Solitons in Noncommutative Gauge Theory*, hep-th/0009142.
- [37] J. A. Harvey, P. Kraus, F. Larsen, *Exact Noncommutative Solitons*, JHEP **0012** (2000) 024 [hep-th/0010060].
- [38] D. J. Gross and N. Nekrasov, *Solitons in Noncommutative Gauge Theory*, hep-th/0010090.
- [39] M. Hamanaka and S. Terashima, *On Exact Noncommutative BPS Solitons*, JHEP **0103** (2001) 034; hep-th/0010221.
- [40] K. Hashimoto, *Fluxons and Exact BPS Solitons in Non-Commutative Gauge Theory*, JHEP **0012** (2000) 023; hep-th/0010251.
- [41] D. Bak, K. Lee and J.-H. Park, *Noncommutative Vortex Solitons*, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 125010; hep-th/0011099.
- [42] B. Durhuus, T. Jonsson and R. Nest, *Noncommutative scalar solitons: existence and nonexistence*, Phys. Lett. **B500** (2001) 320-325; hep-th/0011139.
- [43] S. Corley and S. Ramgoolam, *Projector Equivalences in K theory and Families of Noncommutative Solitons*, JHEP **0103** (2001) 037; hep-th/0012217.
- [44] A. Bergman, O. J. Ganor and J. L. Karczmarek, *A Note on Intersecting and Fluctuating Solitons in 4D Noncommutative Field Theory*, hep-th/0101095.
- [45] Li-Sh. Tseng, *Noncommutative Solitons and Intersecting D-Branes*, hep-th/0101125.
- [46] D. Bak and K. Lee, *Noncommutative Supersymmetric Tubes*, Phys. Lett. **B509** (2001) 168-174; hep-th/0103148.
- [47] M. G. Jackson, *The Stability of Noncommutative Scalar Solitons*, hep-th/0103217.
- [48] R. Gopakumar, M. Headrick and M. Spradlin, *On noncommutative multi-solitons*; hep-th/0103256.
- [49] L. Hadasz, U. Lindstrom, M. Rocek and R. von Unge, *Noncommutative Solitons: Moduli Spaces, Quantization, Finite Theta Effects and Stability*, JHEP **0106** (2001) 040; hep-th/0104017.

- [50] K. Hashimoto and H. Ooguri, *Seiberg-Witten Transforms of Noncommutative Solitons*, hep-th/0105311.
- [51] M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, *Noncommutative solitons on Kahler manifolds*, hep-th/0106180.
- [52] O. Lechtenfeld and A. D. Popov, *Noncommutative Multi-Solitons in 2+1 Dimensions*, hep-th/0106213.
- [53] B. Durhuus, T. Jonsson and R. Nest, *The Existence and Stability of Noncommutative Scalar Solitons*, hep-th/0107121.
- [54] K. Dasgupta, S. Mukhi and G. Rajesh, *Noncommutative tachyons*, JHEP **0006**, 022 (2000); hep-th/0005006.
- [55] J. A. Harvey, P. Kraus, F. Larsen and E. J. Martinec, *D-branes and strings as noncommutative solitons*, JHEP **0007** (2000) 042; hep-th/0005031.
- [56] E. Witten, *Noncommutative Tachyons And String Field Theory*, hep-th/0006071.
- [57] C. Sochichiu, *Noncommutative Tachyonic Solitons. Interaction with Gauge Field*, JHEP **0008** (2000) 026; hep-th/0007217.
- [58] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger, *Symmetry Restoration and Tachyon Condensation in Open String Theory*, JHEP **0104** (2001) 018; hep-th/0007226.
- [59] J. A. Harvey, P. Kraus and F. Larsen, *Tensionless Branes and Discrete Gauge Symmetry*, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 026002; hep-th/0008064.
- [60] J. A. Harvey and G. Moore, *Noncommutative Tachyons and K-Theory*, hep-th/0009030.
- [61] A. Sen, *Some Issues in Non-commutative Tachyon Condensation*, JHEP **0011** (2000) 035; hep-th/0009038.
- [62] P. Kraus, A. Rajaraman and S. Shenker, *Tachyon Condensation in Noncommutative Gauge Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B598** (2001) 169-188; hep-th/0010016.
- [63] M. Li, *Note on Noncommutative Tachyon in Matrix Models*, Nucl. Phys. **B602** (2001) 201-212; hep-th/0010058.
- [64] S. P. de Alwis and A. T. Flournoy, *Some Issues in Noncommutative Solitons as D-branes*, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 106001; hep-th/0011223.
- [65] Y. Hikida, M. Nozaki and T. Takayanagi, *Tachyon Condensation on Fuzzy Sphere and Noncommutative Solitons*, Nucl. Phys. **B595** (2001) 319-331; hep-th/0008023.
- [66] I. Bars, H. Kajiura, Y. Matsuo and T. Takayanagi, *Tachyon Condensation on Noncommutative Torus*, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 086001; hep-th/0010101.
- [67] E. J. Martinec and G. Moore, *Noncommutative Solitons on Orbifolds*, hep-th/0101199.
- [68] T. Krajewski, M. Schnabl, *Exact solitons on noncommutative tori*, hep-th/0104090.
- [69] H. Kajiura, Y. Matsuo and T. Takayanagi, *Exact Tachyon Condensation on Noncommutative Torus*, JHEP **0106** (2001) 041; hep-th/0104143.
- [70] H. Grosse, M. Maceda, J. Madore and H. Steinacker, *Fuzzy Instantons*, hep-th/0107068.